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## Known Unknowns

We can tolerate false matches, if we know how often we are are wrong.

## Our Case: exact matching in CenSoc project

- We match 1940 census to Social Security Death File deaths 1975-2004
- Exact, unique, matches on first name, last name, year of birth, (place of birth)
- Because we don't use middle name, can use to check false match rate


## A self-centered example

Joshua [R.] Goldstein
Josh [A.] Goldstein

Joshua [A.] Goldstein Josh [R.] Goldstein

## Patterns: Education

Match rates by educyr


## Patterns: Region

Match rates by region

|  |  |  | 0 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West North Central Division |  | 0 |  |  |
| Pacific Division |  | 0 |  |  |
| East North Central Division |  | 0 |  |  |
| Mountain Division |  | 0 |  |  |
| Middle Atlantic Division |  | 0 |  |  |
| New England Division |  | 0 |  |  |
| West South Central Divislon |  |  |  |  |
| South Atlantic Divislon |  | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |

## Patterns: Income

Match rates by incwage


## Patterns: Race

## Match rates by race

Chinese

Japanese

American Indlan/Alaska Natlve

Black/Negro

White


## Take-away: Big Black-White Disparity

- Not sure why
- Regression analysis suggests it's not due to name frequencies
- Unstable reporting over time? (Name, birthyear?)
- Enumerator issues?


## An Application

A regression of age at death on education

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{E D} E D_{i}+\epsilon_{i}
$$

But what if we have wrong person's education?
Can model as measurement error:

$$
E D_{j}=E D_{i}+u_{i}
$$

Can "unbias" the coefficients by dividing them by proportion "true matches"
The formula turns out to be

$$
\hat{\beta}_{\text {true }}=\beta_{\text {bias }} \times \frac{1}{1-\alpha_{\text {mismatch rate }}}
$$

Black-White differences in the effect of education

White Black<br>$\beta_{\text {bias }} \quad 0.140 \quad 0.055$

Black-White differences in the effect of education

$$
\begin{array}{rrr} 
& \text { White } & \text { Black } \\
\beta_{\text {bias }} & 0.140 & 0.055 \\
\hat{\alpha}_{j} & 0.150 & 0.350
\end{array}
$$

## Black-White differences in the effect of education

|  | White | Black |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\beta_{\text {bias }}$ | 0.140 | 0.055 |
| $\hat{\alpha}_{j}$ | 0.150 | 0.350 |
| $\hat{\beta}_{\text {true }}$ | 0.165 | 0.085 |

## Black-White differences in the effect of education

|  | White | Black |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\beta_{\text {bias }}$ | 0.140 | 0.055 |
| $\hat{\alpha}_{j}$ | 0.150 | 0.350 |
| $\hat{\beta}_{\text {true }}$ | 0.165 | 0.085 |

- So, difference appears not due to measurement error.
- "Real" explanations required to understand why education has smaller pay-off for Blacks than whites (e.g., lower quality schooling)


## Conclusions

- Trade-offs: effort vs. sample bias vs. false-match rate, ...
- Perhaps false-matches not such a problem, if we can get good estimates of how often they occur.

